IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

CIVIL WRIT No. 5663 of 2006

PRABHU RAM MEGHAWAL
V/S
STATE & ORS.

Mr. VS RAJPUROHIT for Mr. CS RAJPUROHIT, for the appellant / petitioner

Date of Order : 8.1.2007

HON'BLE SHRI N P GUPTA,J.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

It was sought to be contended that Mamta Kumari is not the daughter of the petitioner but is a daughter of his brother, and the impugned order disqualifying the petitioner is bad. A look at Annexure-3 shows that the stand of the petitioner in the reply was different, inasmuch as, the stand taken there was that the petitioner has only two issues first being Dariya Kumari and the other being Mamta Kumari, and has no other issue, while it has been found that the third issue being son Dinesh was born on 22.4.2002. Thus, the contention is contrary to the stand taken in the reply itself. In that view of the matter, I do not find any error in the impugned order. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed summarily.

(N P GUPTA),J.

/Sushil/